As Georgia's Political Center Shifts, Small Communities Must Become More Strategic

Author: Lisa A. James, EMPP • Founder & Principal, LMJ Center for Policy Innovation **Publication:** Series No. 2026-01 • October 2026

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Georgia's evolving demographic and political geography presents smaller municipalities with an urgent strategic challenge: keeping local infrastructure, economic development, and workforce priorities visible within a statewide agenda increasingly shaped by metropolitan growth. Metro Atlanta now represents nearly 60% of Georgia's total population, up from roughly 30% in 1960. As legislative representation, agency focus, and capital allocations gravitate toward the metropolitan core, smaller cities cannot rely on past political arrangements or passive appeals for their "fair share."

This policy white paper establishes an actionable framework for non-metro municipal leaders. Grounded in five Georgia-specific empirical evidence points drawn from official state demographic projections and published economic impact data, the paper demonstrates that non-metro communities retain vital strategic significance—from Coastal Georgia's $77 billion port logistics network and national defense installations to rural agriculture and manufacturing corridors. To convert these assets into legislative results, local leaders must organize capital requests around demonstrable statewide benefits, cultivate year-round intergovernmental partnerships, and strengthen internal municipal capacity to execute complex public investments.

SECTION 01 • POLICY CONTEXT

A Changing Statewide Agenda: The Challenge and Opportunity

Georgia is changing fundamentally—not only demographically, but politically. A recent *Atlanta Journal-Constitution* analysis reports that metropolitan Atlanta now accounts for nearly 60% of the state's total population, compared with approximately 30% in 1960 [1]. Because political representation in the Georgia General Assembly tracks decennial population shifts, the geographic base of statewide policy leadership has gravitated toward metropolitan counties.

For smaller cities, rural towns, and non-metro regional hubs, this structural change introduces a pivotal policy challenge: *How do smaller communities maintain influence, attract public capital, and ensure their priorities remain central to Georgia's statewide agenda when an increasing share of population—and legislative clout—is concentrated in one metropolitan area?*

The appropriate response cannot be an adversarial posture against Atlanta. Metro challenges—such as transit congestion, housing affordability deficits, and rapid infrastructure expansion—are legitimate statewide priorities that affect Georgia's overall economic climate. However, Georgia's resilience depends equally upon the vitality of communities outside metro Atlanta. Coastal Georgia anchors national defense assets, deepwater logistics corridors, manufacturing clusters, and growing residential centers. Rural Georgia sustains agriculture, food supply systems, energy production, and the state's foundational natural resources. The critical challenge is ensuring those vital contributions remain visible, quantified, and valued in statewide policymaking.

SECTION 02 • EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE

Demographic Trajectories and Regional Economic Significance

Sound policy advocacy requires verifiable empirical evidence rather than anecdotal sentiment. Five Georgia-specific benchmarks demonstrate both the scale of statewide growth and the indispensable economic contributions of non-metro jurisdictions:

+16.7%	+25.0%	2.78M	$77B	$1.14B
STATE POP. GROWTH (2026–2050)	CAMDEN POP. GROWTH (2026–2050)	RURAL GEORGIANS (2020 CENSUS)	PORTS STATE GDP (FY2024)	KINGS BAY BASELINE FOOTPRINT

1. Georgia Population Expanding to 13.33 Million by 2050

11.42M → 13.33M (+16.7%)

Projections from the Georgia Office of Planning and Budget (OPB, 2026 release) estimate the statewide population will increase from 11,422,803 in 2026 to 13,328,221 in 2050—a net growth of 1.91 million residents (16.7%) [2]. Both figures reflect baseline demographic projections rather than observed census counts.

POLICY IMPLICATION: Municipalities must anticipate heightened statewide competition for capital appropriations and water/transportation infrastructure funds, establishing how local projects alleviate broader system strain.

2. Camden Illustrates Significant Growth Outside Metro Atlanta

61,109 → 76,361 (+25.0%)

The same OPB release projects Camden County expanding from 61,109 residents in 2026 to 76,361 in 2050—a 25.0% growth rate that exceeds the statewide rate of 16.7% by more than eight percentage points [3].

POLICY IMPLICATION: Coastal communities must construct proactive capital, housing, and utility plans. County-level projections require municipal-level parsing to determine specific utility customer growth and service demands.

3. Rural Residents Constitute a Substantial Statewide Constituency

2.78 Million Rural Residents (25.9%)

According to the 2020 U.S. Census, 2,777,922 Georgians (25.9%) reside in rural areas, alongside 7,933,986 urban residents (74.1%) across the state [4]. Urban classifications encompass communities throughout Georgia, not merely metro Atlanta.

POLICY IMPLICATION: State policy cannot overlook dispersed settlement needs. Advocates must note that because Census urban/rural criteria changed between 2010 and 2020, longitudinal share comparisons must be interpreted cautiously.

4. Deepwater Ports Drive a $77 Billion Statewide Economic Footprint

$77B GDP • 650,964 Jobs

A University of Georgia Selig Center study estimates that Georgia's deepwater ports and port-reliant users supported $77 billion in state GDP and 650,964 full- and part-time jobs in FY2024 (incorporating direct, indirect, and induced effects in 2024 dollars) [5].

POLICY IMPLICATION: Coastal transportation enhancements are not localized requests; they directly reinforce statewide freight supply chains, agricultural export velocity, and state corporate revenue.

5. Naval Submarine Base Kings Bay Provides a Critical Defense Benchmark

$1.142 Billion Historical Impact

Camden County's 2018 Joint Comprehensive Plan cites a Georgia Southern University research benchmark attributing a $1.142 billion economic footprint to Naval Submarine Base Kings Bay ($855 million direct expenditures; $287 million secondary impacts) [6].

POLICY IMPLICATION: National defense activities belong in regional funding narratives. However, because this is a 2016 baseline, an updated economic impact study is required to properly size present-day capital requests.

SECTION 03 • STRATEGIC INFLUENCE

Connecting Local Priorities to Statewide Interests

Historically, many of Georgia's most powerful legislative leaders hailed from rural and small-town communities. That automatic structural advantage is diminishing as legislative reapportionment aligns with population migration toward metropolitan centers.

This shift does not consign smaller communities to irrelevance. Rather, it demands that the mechanisms through which they exercise influence modernize. The emerging model requires shifting from defensive entitlement to proactive, value-additive strategy:

Traditional Approach (Diminishing Return)	Strategic Influence Model (High Impact)
Isolated Advocacy: Individual municipalities lobbying independently for localized earmarks.	**Regional Coalitions:** Multijurisdictional compacts advancing joint corridor, workforce, and water agendas.
Fair-Share Grievance: Demanding state allocations solely based on regional equity or historic entitlement.	**Statewide Return on Investment:** Demonstrating how local investments generate net tax revenue and economic resilience for Georgia.
Transactional Engagement: Contacting state agencies and legislators only during legislative sessions or grant cycles.	**Sustained Partnerships:** Cultivating trusted, year-round institutional relationships across executive agencies and legislative committees.
Anecdotal Requests: Presenting project needs through general narratives and emotional appeals.	**Empirical Evidence Briefs:** Quantifying service deficits, economic multipliers, beneficiary counts, and delivery timelines.

THE SOUTH AND COASTAL GEORGIA OPPORTUNITY

Reframing Regional Assets as Statewide Dividends

For South Georgia and Coastal Georgia, policy conversations must move beyond asking whether the region receives its proportionate share. The far more persuasive question is: *How does targeted capital investment in our communities advance Georgia's collective prosperity?*

National Defense as Strategic State Infrastructure

Infrastructure investments in Camden County and communities surrounding Naval Submarine Base Kings Bay are not merely local public works. They directly support ballistic missile submarine readiness, military families, and Department of Defense operational resilience—protecting key defense missions during future federal review cycles.

Transportation Logistics as Supply Chain Lifelines

Arterial highway expansion, rail improvements, and bridge replacements in coastal corridors are not municipal road projects. They directly sustain container flow to and from Georgia's deepwater ports, support multi-billion-dollar tourism corridors, and secure vital emergency hurricane evacuation routes.

Workforce Housing as Regional Economic Capacity

Addressing municipal workforce housing deficits is not an isolated zoning concern. It directly governs whether regional manufacturers, health systems, maritime logistics providers, and defense contractors can successfully recruit, house, and retain skilled labor.

SECTION 04 • MUNICIPAL CAPACITY

Economic Strength, Fiscal Health, and Capable Leadership

A critical consequence of Georgia's demographic shift is the widening divergence in municipal capacity. Fast-growing metropolitan jurisdictions naturally generate robust tax revenues, broad private investment, and heightened legislative attention. In contrast, smaller communities experiencing moderate growth face a systemic vulnerability:

THE MUNICIPAL CAPACITY TRAP

Slower Growth → Constrained Tax Base → Deferred Capital Investment → Diminished Competitiveness → Reduced State Clout

Strategic economic development interrupts this cycle. Modern tools that diversify the local tax base, attract high-value commercial enterprise, and modernize utility systems must be understood not simply as growth measures, but as fundamental pillars of long-term municipal governance capacity.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

Evaluating Growth Through Net Public Value

A larger gross tax base does not automatically produce a net fiscal benefit. High-impact municipal governance requires evaluating development proposals through a lifecycle cost lens. Leaders must rigorously compare anticipated ad valorem and sales tax receipts against the recurring municipal expenditures required to service them:

REVENUE ADDITIONS

Commercial/industrial tax base, utility user fee surpluses, local sales tax distributions (LOST/SPLOST), and expanded employment density.

SERVICE & CAPITAL LIABILITIES

Water/wastewater expansion debt, arterial road repaving, fire/EMS response staffing, stormwater mitigation, and environmental management costs.

HUMAN CAPITAL

Professional Development as Institutional Infrastructure

The complexity of modern public administration makes professional development for elected officials an urgent necessity rather than an optional luxury. Governing contemporary municipalities requires capabilities far beyond the administrative conduct of council sessions.

Municipal officials routinely deliberate multimillion-dollar operating and capital budgets, tax allocation districts (TADs), industrial development authority bonds, Service Delivery Strategy (SDS) negotiations, water rights allocations, and complex intergovernmental agreements. Communities require leaders equipped with the specialized knowledge to evaluate development agreements critically, articulate fiscal positions clearly to citizens, and advocate persuasively before state legislative committees.

SECTION 05 • POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS

Seven Strategic Priorities for Smaller Communities

1 — Establish Formal Regional Policy Agendas
Partner across municipal and county boundaries through Regional Commissions to craft joint legislative platforms targeting shared infrastructure, housing corridors, and workforce development systems.

2 — Quantify and Package Local Contributions to the State Economy
Document local economic anchors—including defense spending, deepwater port logistics, agribusiness, and tourism—translating regional activity into concrete state GDP, tax revenue, and job metrics.

3 — Institutionalize Advanced Professional Development for Elected Officials
Invest continuously in certified municipal education covering public finance, capital improvement planning (CIP), debt issuance, statutory land use, and intergovernmental negotiations.

4 — Cultivate Year-Round State-Local Agency Partnerships
Engage legislative delegations, state agency leadership, and municipal associations consistently throughout the year, establishing collaborative credibility well ahead of statutory funding cycles.

5 — Ground Funding Requests in Rigorous Evidence Briefs
Replace generalized funding requests with project-specific evidence articulating documented infrastructure deficits, beneficiary counts, cost-benefit ratios, and verifiable statewide public outcomes.

6 — Target Sustainable, Non-Residential Tax-Base Expansion
Pursue balanced economic development to protect residential taxpayers, subjecting all tax incentives, annexations, and rezoning proposals to comprehensive net public value evaluations.

7 — Build Cross-Geographic and Cross-Partisan Coalitions
Organize bipartisan alliances on non-partisan statewide priorities—including broadband access, rural healthcare networks, military installation protection, and state water planning.

ACTIONABLE EXECUTION: THE EVIDENCE BRIEF PROTOCOL

Before approaching state agencies or legislative delegations, municipal working groups should compile a concise, two-page **Evidence Brief** structured around four core criteria:

- **1. Documented Service Deficit:** Empirical gap, capacity limit, or safety hazard.
- **2. Statewide Alignment:** Linkage to logistics, port velocity, defense, or GDP.
- **3. Co-Investment Capital:** Documented local match (SPLOST, general fund, bond).
- **4. Delivery Schedule:** Clear engineering milestones and procurement controls.

SECTION 06 • CONCLUSION & RESEARCH RECORD

Strategic Influence Is Built Over Time

Georgia's demographic and political gravity will inevitably continue its metropolitan trajectory. However, demographic concentration does not mandate political marginalization. Smaller cities, rural counties, and coastal communities anchor foundational defense, agricultural, and logistics systems without which Georgia's statewide economy cannot thrive.

The future imperative for non-metro leadership is not simply to request a seat at the statewide policy table. It is to arrive at that table organized, regionally unified, empirically grounded, and prepared to demonstrate why local success is indispensable to Georgia's collective prosperity.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY AND ANALYTICAL BOUNDARIES

This policy white paper synthesizes published state demographic projections and peer-reviewed economic studies; it does not present an original causal impact evaluation. Percentage changes are calculated as:
`Percentage Change = [ (Projection_2050 / Projection_2026) - 1 ] × 100` (rounded to one decimal place).

State and county population forecasts reflect the Georgia Office of Planning and Budget (OPB, 2026 release) and are subject to future demographic revision. U.S. Census settlement classifications follow 2020 urban-rural criteria, which differ structurally from Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA) definitions. Economic impact figures (UGA Selig Center port study; Camden County Joint Comprehensive Plan defense baseline) model direct, indirect, and induced economic output across broader supply chains; they do not represent unencumbered municipal tax receipts. Policy frameworks and priority recommendations represent the analysis of the LMJ Center for Policy Innovation.

SOURCES AND DATA NOTES

Documented References

[1] Bluestein, Greg. (2026, September 21). "Georgia's center of political gravity is shifting toward Atlanta. Why it matters." *The Atlanta Journal-Constitution*.

[2] Georgia Office of Planning and Budget. (2026). *State Residential Population Projections, 2026–2065* (2026 release, columns 2026 and 2050).

[3] Georgia Office of Planning and Budget. (2026). *County Residential Population Projections, 2026–2065* (2026 release, Camden County row; columns 2026 and 2050).

[4] U.S. Census Bureau. (2020). *State-level Urban and Rural Information for the 2020 and 2010 Censuses* (Georgia summary data).

[5] Humphreys, Jeffrey M. (2025). *The Economic Impact of Georgia's Deepwater Ports on Georgia's Economy in FY 2024*. Selig Center for Economic Growth, Terry College of Business, University of Georgia.

[6] Camden County Board of Commissioners & Municipal Partners. (2018). *Camden County Joint Comprehensive Plan* (p. 179; Kings Bay economic impact benchmark citing Georgia Southern University analysis).

Suggested Citation: James, Lisa A. (2026). *As Georgia's Political Center Shifts, Small Communities Must Become More Strategic*. LMJ Research & Policy Series, No. 2026-01. LMJ Center for Policy Innovation.

Contact:
lmjgovservices@outlook.com